

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
-		٦	EXAMINER	
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED:	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

· ->-	Application No.	on No. Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	09/265,606	ZIMMERMANN ET AL.	
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Marjorie A. Moran</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Norman Hanson</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 27 July 2001.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	2) applicant's representativ	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)☑ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>5</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>None</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) was not reached. h)] N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		if an agreement was	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w		
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sechecked).	eparate record of the substanc	e of the interview(if box	∢ is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOR MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	. (See MPEP Section 713.04) MONTH FROM THIS INTERV	 If a reply to the last 0 VIEW DATE TO FILE A 	Office \
	,	1	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Mass	4 70-7	
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner informed Mr. Hanson that while his arguments with respect to activity of the fusion protein were convincing, the utility of the fusion protein was still in question for reasons not related to activity. In addition, the examiner stated that there were some new matter problems with regard to the preliminary amendment filed 3/10/99 which had not previously been addressed. The examiner also stated that she did not agree with the previous examiner that the issues under 35 USC 112 regarding of the catalytic domain had been resolved. The examiner apologized for the confusion and loss of time to applicants, and agreed that applicants were entitled to a refund of pendancy time and filing fees. The examiner stated that for the reasons set forth herein, prosecution will be reopened.

MICHAEL P. WOODWARD SUPERVISORY PATENT FYAMINEP TECHNOLOGY CENTURE FORM